



**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.
200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
SUITE 4000
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402**

COPY MAILED

AUG 08 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Dillon, David	:	
Application No. 10/578,037	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: May 2, 2006	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 166981118	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)(iii), filed June 9, 2006, to make the above-identified application special based on the invention materially contributing to countering terrorism as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section XI.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)(iii) and MPEP § 708.02, Section XI: Inventions for Countering Terrorism, should state that special status is sought because the invention materially contributes to countering terrorism. International terrorism as defined in 18 U.S.C. 2331 includes:

activities that - (A) involve violent acts or acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State, or that would be a criminal violation if committed within the jurisdiction of the United States or of any State; [and] (B) appear to be intended - (i) to intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or (iii) to affect the conduct of a government by assassination or kidnapping...

If the disclosure it not clear on its face that the claimed invention is materially directed to countering terrorism, the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee or a registered attorney/agent explaining how the materiality standard is met. The types of technology for countering terrorism could include, but are not limited to, systems for detecting/identifying explosives, aircraft sensors/security systems, and vehicular barricades/disabling systems. No fee is required.

The USPTO's final rule amending 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2) states:

The materiality standard does not permit an applicant to speculate as to how a hypothetical end-user might specially apply the invention in a manner that could counter terrorism. Nor does such standard permit an applicant to enjoy the benefit of advance examination merely because some minor aspect of the claimed invention may be directed to countering terrorism...[Response to Comment 65] applicants should not expect to have their petitions granted without a clear demonstration that the claimed invention is materially related to countering terrorism. 69 Fed. Reg. 56511 (Sept. 21, 2004)

The petition states, David G. Dillion further asserts that embodiments of the invention, as described and claimed in the above-referenced application, can be used to combat such counterfeiting, and in turn, to counter terrorism." As stated above, the materiality standard does not permit an applicant to speculate as to how a hypothetical end-user might specially apply the invention in a manner that could counter terrorism. Further, petitioner has failed to explain how the claimed invention has met the materiality standard.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:


By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION
 Commissioner for Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
 Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Petitions Examiner Liana Chase at 571-272-3206. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 3627 for action in its regular turn.


David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions